

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/516,082	03/01/2000	Satoshi Murakami	SEL163 3545		
7:	590 12/16/2002				
Cook Alex Mcfarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler LTD 200 West Adams ST			EXAMINER		
			LEE, EUGENE		
Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUME		
J ,			2815		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/16/2002	DATE MAII CD: 12/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Um				
	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
. Office Action Summany	09/516,082		MURAKAMI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Eugene Lee		2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	September 2002							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 42-45 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-45</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	′) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2000</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(1)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 09/24/02 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09516082 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first color filter, second color filter and third color filter must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. The figures only show one color filter 2301.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. The amendment filed 1/29/02 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the

Art Unit: 2815

original disclosure is as follows: a first color filter, a second color filter and third color filter.

The specification only discloses one color filter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 42 thru 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not disclose a first color filter, a second color filter and third color filter. The specification only discloses one color filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Insofar as definite, claims 42 thru 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al '485 in Zhong '721. Sato discloses (see, for example, FIG. 1) an active matrix display device comprising pixels 4 having a thin-film transistor 7, pixel electrode 6,

Art Unit: 2815

insulating film (organic resin film) 17, pad shielding film (electrode) 16P, and insulating film (oxide film) 18. In column 7, lines 50-60, Sato discloses the insulating films being SiO₂, and/or organic substances. Sato does not disclose color filters. However, Zhong shows (see, for example, FIG. 1 and 6(c)) an active matrix LCD having color filters of red, green and blue. These color filters color the light so that the pixels of a device may form a color image. Zhong also states (see, for example, abstract) that the color filters function as an insulating layer between the pixel electrodes and address lines and accordingly, line-pixel capacitances are reduced. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the color filters of Zhong in Sato's invention in order to make the colors of a pixel and also to decrease line-pixel capacitance.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 42-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The disclosure only shows one individual filter 2301. This individual filter may be a tricolor filter capable of coloring light red, blue or green, but it is not three (first, second, and third) individual filters like it is stated in the claims.

A motivation supplied by the reference is not required if a motivation is readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this case, the inclusion of color filters is immediately obvious; color filters turn light into different colors; i.e. red, blue and green. Such coloring is required so that images can have colors and be formed from pixels. Without color filters, an

Art Unit: 2815

LCD device would not display color images. In any case, Zhong does supply a different motivation for the color filters as stated in the rejection above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2815

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee December 10, 2002

SUPER SOUT FAMILIE COMMENT